



Atty Docket No. 80398.P158

*#12 (W.B.)  
W. Langston  
1/16/03*

*Patent*  
**AMENDMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.116  
EXPEDITED PROCEDURE  
EXAMINING GROUP 2611**

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

**RECEIVED**  
**JAN 15 2003**

**Technology Center 2600**

In re Application of: )  
Proehl, et al. )  
Serial No. 09/218,119 )  
Filed: December 21, 1998 )  
For: Method and Apparatus for )  
Notification of a Broadcast Device )

) Examiner: Lonsberry, H.  
)  
) Art Unit: 2611  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail with sufficient postage in an envelope addressed to the Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231

On

*1/16/03*  
Date of Deposit

*Cheryl Clinkbeard*  
Name of Person Mailing Correspondence

Signature

*1/16/03*  
Date

Assistant Commissioner for Patents  
Washington, D.C. 20231  
Box AF

**RESPONSE AFTER FINAL UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.116**

In response to the final Office Action mailed November 6, 2002 Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration of this application and consideration of the following remarks.

**Rejections**

Applicant notes that claim 49 was not particularly rejected in the Office Action and assumes it was intended to be rejected as obvious under the same rationale as claim 19 from which it depends. Therefore, Applicant argues for the patentability of claim 49 in conjunction with claim 19.

**Rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 103**

**Claims 1-7, 9, 10, 19-20, 23-34, 38, 40-42, 50-52 and 54-56**

Claims 1-7, 9, 10, 19-20, 23-34, 38, 40-42, 50-52 and 54-56 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being obvious over U.S. Patent 5,699,107 to Lawler in view of U.S.